

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/731,790 | 12/09/2003 | Michael Kilian | E0295.70190US00 | 4910 |
| 20028 7599 9MJ25008 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WONG, JOSEPH D | |
| BOSTON, MA 02210-2206 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2168 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|
| | 10/731,790 | KILIAN ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | JOSEPH D. WONG | 2168 | | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
 - NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 65-78. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Tim T. Vo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168

Continuation of 13. Other: Note for purposes of appeal: the proposed amendment to claim 75 will not be entered.

Arguments against the 35 USC 103 rejection are considered not persuasive. This response will be directed to responding to Applicant's request for clarifications. The Final Office Action, P. 6, Line 14, which in turn refers to Cossey's paragraph [52] which positively recites a history retention section which holds the user specification of 3 days. The argument appears to allege that box 425 of Fig. 4 is not a content address yet this is incorrect because if it is shown to have a position on the screen with an implicit X and Y screen coordinate at a minimum. The first request for clarification as what is the content address is met because nothing in the reference suggests that the display of Fig. 4 is displayed for only one single screen cycle without refresh. If the display is shown for long enough for the user to read and comprehend, then the content is stored at an address as evidenced by Fig. 4 of Cossey. The second request for clarification is what is the content address and from content is the content address generated. When the number three is entered, it appears stored in at least one addressed location if not multiple locations, the screen memory to facilitate refresh or ongoing display, in a memory variable for comparison three days later. The arguments appear to present a confusing semantic argument in the instant remarks, P. 9, last paragraph spanning to P. 10, first paragraph. The remarks allege hat Cossey does not teach "a retention period for a unit of content being stored in the content of the unit of content, a request to delete the unit of content identifying the unit of content using a content address generated, at least in part, from at least a portion of the unit of content, or the portion of the unit of content used in generating the content address including the retention period." This argument is considered moot because it is shown to be NOT commensurate in scope with the instant claim language which reads differently than the argument, "receiving a request, from the host, to delete a unit of content stored on the storage system, wherein a previously defined retention period for the unit of content is stored in the unit fo content, wherein the request identifies the unit of content using a content address generated, at least in part, from at least a portion of the content of the unit of content, and wherein the at least a portion of the content of the unit of content includes the previously- defined retention period". Accordingly, all pending claims remain rejected.